

The Universe

It's not what you think!



By

Keith Dixon-Roche

(with a little help from Isaac Newton)

Keith Dixon-Roche © 2019 to 2025

*All concepts and formulas in this book not previously
attributed to 'The Heroes' identified in The Appendix,
are the sole property of Keith Dixon-Roche
and protected by copyright.*

*Their use, publication, broadcasting,
distribution, copying or any form of recording
without Keith Dixon-Roche's written consent
shall be a breach of international copyright law
and subject to legal action.*

The Universe

It's not whatyou think!



Published by CalQlata

info@CalQlata.com

First published November 2019

Second publication January 2021

Third publication July 2021

Fourth publication November 2022

Fifth publication March 2025

This book is sold subject to condition
that it shall not by way of trade or otherwise,
be lent, re-sold, hired out or otherwise circulated
without the publisher's prior consent and in such
circumstances it shall not be circulated in any form of
binding or cover other than that in which it is published

Copyright © Keith Dixon-Roche 2019

Contents

Preface

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quanta
 - 2.1 The 'Photon'
- 3 Proton-Electron Pairs
- 4 Atoms
 - 4.1 Nucleus
 - 4.2 Electron Shells
 - 4.3 Isotope
 - 4.4 Ion
 - 4.5 Fission (Radioactivity)
 - 4.6 Fusion
 - 4.7 Molecules
- 5 The State of Matter
- 6 Energy
 - 6.1 Potential
 - 6.2 Kinetic
 - 6.3 Electrical & Magnetic
 - 6.4 Electro-Magnetism
 - 6.4.1 Wave Characteristics
 - 6.4.2 Heat & Light
 - 6.5 $E=mc^2$
- 7 The Universal Machine
 - 7.1 Orbits
 - 7.1.2 Terminology
 - 7.1.3 Orbital Laws
 - 7.1.4 Station-Keeping
 - 7.1.5 Orbital Planes
 - 7.1.6 The Importance of Orbits
 - 7.2 Spin
 - 7.2.1 Polar Moment of Inertia
 - 7.2.2 Earth's Core
 - 7.2.3 Earth's Magnetic Field
 - 7.2.4 Magnetic Reversal
 - 7.2.5 No Moon!
 - 7.2.6 Chicken & Egg
 - 7.3 Core-Pressure
 - 7.3.1 The Structure of Celestial Bodies
- 8 A Universal Theory (how it works)

- 8.1 The Ultimate Body
- 8.2 The Universe
 - 8.2.1 Universal Size and Age
- 8.3 Planetary Spin Energy
- 8.4 Galaxies
- 8.5 Solar Systems
- 8.6 Stars
- 8.7 Planets
- 8.8 Moons
- 8.9 Our Galaxy: The Milky Way
 - 8.9.1 Hades
 - 8.9.2 Our Sun
 - 8.9.3 Mercury
 - 8.9.4 Venus
 - 8.9.5 The Earth
 - 8.9.6 Mars
 - 8.9.7 The Asteroid Belt
 - 8.9.8 Jupiter
 - 8.9.9 Saturn
 - 8.9.10 Uranus
 - 8.9.11 Neptune
 - 8.9.12 Pluto
 - 8.9.13 Our Moon
 - 8.9.14 Phobos
 - 8.9.15 Deimos
- 9 Fact & Fiction
 - 9.1 Sub-Atomic Particles (fiction)
 - 9.2 Black Holes (fiction)
 - 9.3 Big-Bang (fact)
 - 9.4 Dark Matter (fiction)
 - 9.5 The Birth of Our Solar System (fiction)
- 10 Primary Constants
 - 10.1 Electrical Charge (e)
 - 10.2 Magnetic Charge (kg)
 - 10.3 Distance (Rn)
 - 10.4 Time (tn)
 - 10.5 Static Ratio (ξ_m)
 - 10.6 Dynamic Ratio (ξ_v)
 - 10.7 Universal Constant (Σ)
 - 10.8 Temperature (Tn)
- 11 Model Verification

- 11.1 Density vs Temperature
- 11.2 Specific Heat Capacity
- 11.3 Gas-Point
- 11.4 Our Sun
- 11.5 PVRT
- 12 The Laws of Thermodynamics
- 13 So; What Now?

Appendix

- A1 References
- A2 Glossary
- A3 The Heroes

Preface

Following my recent studies of Newton's laws of orbital motion, I have come to the conclusion that the scientific community made a colossal error back in the early 20th century that it continues to perpetuate. Just as with the religious community that preceded it, the scientific community is afraid to admit that it may be wrong.

How else could they justify the public money wasted on projects such as; the EHT *photograph*, the hadron collider, the search for 'dark matter', fusion generators, etc. How could it have declared 'Pluto' a non-planet when its members don't appear to understand what constitutes a planet?

The only saving grace today, is that they are no longer allowed to burn recalcitrants at the stake.

It is well-known that all scientific discoveries are ignored by the extant world's decision-makers. For example; the scientists of his day called Alfred Wegener; "*an amateur*"; "*a jumped-up meteorologist*"; etc., however, as we now know, Wegener was correct and the scientists were wrong.

History has taught us that only public opinion in later generations is able to convince scientists to reconsider their position.

As far as I can see, there has been no genuine scientific discovery from the scientific community in the last 100 years. Even the atom bomb was created by experimentation. None of the scientists involved actually understood where the energy comes from; how it is created, where it is stored, and how it is released.

The above error was due to the general acceptance of the claim that "*the known laws of physics don't apply*" may be used where theories promulgated by well-known scientists cannot be substantiated. Scientists have therefore proceeded to create numerous bizarre sub-theories to explain the natural world based upon Relativity and Quantum Theory. The problem is, that not only can these theories not be related to *the known laws of physics*, they don't even relate to each other.

On the other hand; my own discoveries; Newton's gravitational constant (G), spin theory, core-pressure, atomic particles, the atom, [orbital] station-keeping, the true nature of electro-magnetic energy, the neutron, universal energy and many others besides, are all based upon Isaac Newton's laws of

orbital motion, that not only relate mathematically and physically to the known laws of physics, they also relate to each other.

Einstein's problem began with believing Newton's prediction that light comprised particles. He (Einstein) subsequently claimed that these 'light-particles' were electrons flying around at the speed of light; 'photons'.

And therefore, declared that if electrons have mass, Newton's gravitational formula may be used to define the deflection of light around stars & planets. But using Newton's [gravitational] formula his answer was out by a factor of 2. So; he deformed space and time around large bodies to explain this problem.

But he couldn't understand why mass velocity was additive but light isn't!

So, he misinterpreted Henri Poincaré's formula ($E=mc^2$) to imply that mass changes to energy with velocity.

This alone should have told him that light did not possess mass, so he should not have used gravity to deflect it.

If he had understood the true meaning of Henri Poincaré's formula and believed Huygens, Faraday, Maxwell, etc. that light was electro-magnetic energy, Einstein should have realised that you cannot use gravity to solve this problem, which would of course, have invalidated his need to deform space-time and we would not now be stuck with Relativity.

Even today we are being taught by *mathematicians* that Relativity causes velocity to vary with gravity. Whilst there are many such formulas, e.g.;

$$v = v / \sqrt{1+(v/c)^2} \quad \& \quad m = m_o / \sqrt{1-(v/c)^2}$$

they are of course, absolute poppycock because; $c \neq c/\sqrt{2}$ and $m \neq 0$

Niels Bohr made a similar mistake at about the same time. He couldn't explain the behaviour of electrons in atoms and also declared that "the known laws of physics don't apply". He subsequently invented Quantum Theory and used bully-boy tactics to defend it. Declaring;

"if you aren't profoundly shocked by quantum physics, then you haven't understood it", thereby defying anybody to challenge his claims.

Afraid to contradict him, every scientist then began inventing sub-theories (uncertainty, string, super-string, anti-matter, sub-atomic particles, etc.) to try and make Bohr's atom work. None of which have been, nor can be verified. Nobody has yet been able to predict the nature and behaviour of

atoms based upon Quantum Theory; because it simply doesn't work. Yet even today, we continue with the pointless search for a '*unification theory*'.

Moreover, Bohr's atom has no mechanism to emit energy and it is based upon statistics, unlike the laws of nature; which are not.

Since Einstein and Bohr tied themselves in knots in the early 20th century, all we have done since, is continue to pull the knot tighter.

I have gone out of my way to stress the above because the universe we have been sold is based on the above theories, causing every scientist that tries to explain it to us '*lay people*', find it necessary to qualify their claims with; "**we believe**", or "**we think**", or "**we assume**".

Whereas, the real universe is nothing like that claimed by the scientific community; it is so much simpler. Remember, **nature does not need to rely on complexity for an elegant solution.**

Therefore, when reading this book, it is essential that you forget Relativity and Quantum Theory and go back to basics; circa 1900. Because the mathematical and scientific laws and theories from pre-20th century scientists can accurately explain and predict everything about our universe from atoms to the '*Big-Bang*'.

I.e. we can at last claim that; "**we know**".

The mathematics behind the *real* universe, together with the proofs that Relativity does not work are provided in my first publication; *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica Rev. IV*; my tribute to **Isaac Newton** who gave us [*almost*] everything we needed to explain our universe but which was ignored at the beginning of the 20th century, stagnating technological development.

My message to today's scientific community:

"It is only after you accept reality that our world will become a green and pleasant land."

Because it is now possible to define the Milky-Way's force-centre, I have given it the name 'Hades' for easier reference.

Keith Dixon-Roche 2019

1 Introduction

This book provides an overview of the things that make up our universe, which comprises more than $2.8\text{E}+75$ protons together with the same quantity of electrons, and nothing else. I shall begin my overview with these particles (Chapter 2); because there is nothing else out there.

Max Planck called these particles; **Quanta**, which in deference to him, being the only 20th century scientist needed to describe our universe, shall be used as a collective term for all *particles* in this publication.

Mass, as we understand it is actually 'magnetic charge'. It is not just *possible*, it is actually very easy to calculate the mass of a body, such as a planet, using magnetic charge#. All physical properties can be calculated from just four basic constants (distance, time and electrical & magnetic charge), two ratios (static & dynamic) and a bizarre property of $3\text{E}-91$ #

The universal model described here is very different to the one you know. It is based upon scientific and mathematical theories generated by the heroes listed in the Appendix of this book, almost all of which originated well before the 20th century.

Every concept presented here, from the atom to the '*Big-Bang*', is verifiable mathematically and physically, and they all interrelate. At no time has it been necessary to qualify any claim with the term "*I think*".

This is a mathematical universe that needs no unification theory or sub-theory to justify it. It obeys; Newton's conservation of momentum, the laws of thermodynamics and the conservation of energy. It is eternal, and needs no '*outside*' assistance.

Whilst mass is actually magnetic charge and gravity is magnetism#, they will be referred to as 'mass' and 'potential energy' respectively in this book in order to prevent confusion for the reader.

It is important to understand that *all* natural laws are simple and *locked*; i.e. there can be no possibility of change. Statistics apply *only* to the consequences of laws, never the laws themselves.

This book is a non-mathematical description of the universe, but you may review its mathematical support in the references listed in its Appendix.

refer to my earlier publication '*The Physical Constants*'